TYPES OF NORTH AMERICAN UNIONIDAE IN THE COLLECTION OF THE CARNEGIE MUSEUM

J. J. PARODIZ

Carnegie Museum, Pittsburgh, Pa.

The material here revised includes only the primary types, holotypes, syntypes, lectotypes, paratypes, occasionally an allotype, or, when a topotype specimen was revised by the author of the species, a metatype are included. The nomenclature and status of the species have been updated with additional notes and observations. One species from Guatemala and another from Nicaragua were added to complete the list of types of Unionidae in the collection.

Original materials described by Grier, Utterback, and Ortmann as "varieties," *races, or *forms were synonymized under their actual species names in capitals, but listed by their first references. This criterion for dealing with variations is valid for almost all the trinomials used, or introduced by Ortmann (1919 Monogr. Naiades of Pa., Mem. Carnegie Mus. 8). It is evident that these are not subspecies by standards of allopatry. Ortmann was perfectly aware of such conditions on which he often remarked; for example, of Anodonta grandis he said, a number of [variations] them have received names [but] certainly are not subspecies in the strict taxonomic sense. I shall mention some of these forms with the express understanding that I regard them as individual variations. Thus, Ortmann used the trinomial as a convenient way to

refer to clinal, local, or individual variations, but without taxonomic standing because, as he repeatedly stated "it is impossible to separate them except by drawing an artificial line." Consequently, the taxonomic simplification used in this paper is not in conflict with Ortmann's system by inobservance of it.

The species have been arranged into four subfamilies. The conventional division of the North American Naiades of the family Unionidae recognizes three subfamiliest Unioninae, Anodontinae, and Lampsilinae, and occasionally a tribe Alasmidontini. A recent classification by Morrison (Reports Amer. Malac Union for 1955 and 1966), separates Unionidae (Unioninae, Anodontinae, and Alasmidontinae) from Amblemidae (Ambleminae and Lampsilinae) on some anatomical and embryological basis. Such a system is not a very radical departure from the conventional as it might appear. Comparing with Ortmann's divisions (op. cit., part 1: 335; 1911), we find in his first key (Unioninae), Quedrula, Rotundaria, Pleurobema, and Elliptio, which correspond to what Morrison places in Amblemidaes the second Ortmann key (Anodontinae) is practically the same as in Morrison, except for Alasmidonta; and the third key (Lampsilinae) contains the same genera that Morrison places in the same subfamily but under Amblemidae.

In other confrontation, with Thiele's *Handbuch' (1935), we find again that the first twelve American genera arranged within the Unioninae correspond to Morrison's Amblemidae(nae), the Lampsilinae are correlated, with the Anodontinae in between. In some more recently published papers, the same correlation exists; in Clench and Turner (Bull. Florida State Museum, 1956), the first six genera listed under Unionidae are Morrison's Amblemidae; and Clarke and Berg (Cornell Univ. Mem. 367, 1959), the first four species under Unioninae are the Ambleminae, and in succession come Alasmidontini and Anodontini and last the Lampsilinae.

Thus, the discrepancies among these systems are only a matter of names. What Morrison did was to rank Ancdontinae, plus Alasmidontinae in the already known family Unionidae, and separating them from the Amblemidae which includes Lampsilinäe. The basis for this - glochidia and gill structure - has a taxonomic value of the same degree Ortmann used in 1911 to separate Margaritidae from Unionidae. But, although the argument is theoretically acceptable, it creates a greater problem than the one it intended to solve; after we have most of the American genera assembled in the Amblemidae (Ambleminae) according to Morrison, a vacuum has been produced, because the Unioninae s. s. are left devoid of genera in America, unless what Morrison really meant was that this subfamily should be restricted to the Old World Unios. An alternative would be to use Ambleminae (or tribe Amblemini) for that group of genera with all the four gills serving as marsupium, which includes Amblema, Fusconafa, and Quadrula, as a more primitive group, and to reserve Unioninae for those in which only the two outer gills serve as marsupium, with Rotundaria, Pleurobema, and Elliptio. This will correspond to the old 'Homogenae' and 'Tetragenae' of Simpson; but then, it breaks into two parts Morrison's concept of Amblemidae. The possibility of such arrangement exists (in fact it simply consists in giving names to the main divisions a and a which Ortmann recognized in his key for Unioninae (1919: 6, 7); but it is not truly workable until the system is fully analyzed, with a critical study of all the genera involved to be certain of their relationships, and not in abstract form. Meanwhile, the current system of three subfamilies (four if Alasmidon tinae is accepted as a transitional group with reduced hinges between Unioninae and Anodontinae), will keep us away from confusion. The system then, for all the Naiades of the western hemisphere, is at present as follows:

SUPERFAMILY UNIONACEA

Family Margaritinidae Ortmann, 1911
Family Unionidae Rafinesque, 1820
Subfamily Unioninae s. s.
Subfamily Anodontinae Rafinesque,

Subfamily Anodontinae Rafinesque, 1820.

Subfamily Lampsilinae, Ihering, 1901 Subfamily (?) Alasmidontinae Rafinesque, 1820

Family Hyriidae Swainson, 1840
Subfamily Hyriinae s.s. (restricted Parodiz & Bonetto 1963)
Tribe Prisodontini Modell 1942
(transfer. Par. & Bon. 1963)
Tribe Castalini Parodiz & Bonetto, 1963)
Tribe Diplodontini Parodiz & Bo-

netto, 1963) SUPERFAMILY MUTELACEA Parodiz & Bonetto,

1963
Family Mycetopodidae -ray, 1840
Subfamily Monocondylaeinae Modell

Subfamily Monocondylaeinae Modell,

Subfamily Anodontinae Modell, 1942 Subfamily Leilinae Morretes, 1949

UNIONINAE

Fusconala selecta Wheeler, 1914

= FUSCONAIA FLAVA Raf.
Nautilus 28 75, pl. 5.

Type loc. 7 Cache River at Nemo, Craighead Co., Arkansas.

Type lot; One paratype, from Frierson, CM 61.7723. The type was in Wheeler's collection. Other paratypes in Alabama Museum, ANSP.

This form is the same as Unio trigona Lea : flava. Fusconaia flava parvula Grier, 1918 * FUSCONAIA FLAVA Raf.

Nautilus 23: 11 (not figured). Ortmann 1919: 21, pl. 2, f 2.

Type loc : Big Bend, Presque Isle, Erie Co., Pennsylvania.

Type lot: Lectotype (male) here selected corresponding to the one figured by Ortmann and measurements given on page 22; one allotype female; collected Ortmann July 8-12, 1910. CM 61.4513, twelve paratypes including juveniles. There are two more lots bearing the indication *type lot* collected at different times; five paratypes (plus one sent to the Ohio State Museum) from type loc., coll. June 3, 1908, CM 61. 4370, marked type but it does not correspond to the one described, measured and figured, and to it Ortmann put an early label as "Quadrula rubiginosa, " and afterwards added undata:panvulatatifit is doubtful that Grier had seen this specimen).

This is the ecological, lake form of flava, the surface with regular and well marked growth lines (by these markings the lectotype is about 10 years old, and older specimens (60 X 43 mm.) about 15 years old, showing also that the individuals become more elongate with age and darker.F. flava parvula forms a localized clinal transition between $flava\ flava$ and flavaundata-trigona, to the point that it is impossible to draw a dividing line, flava disappearing clinally into the others. This shows parallelism of station with the Fusconaia subrotunda group. Clarke and Berg (1959) synonymized correctly undulata, rubiginosa, undata, and trigona under flava. The lectotype was originally labelled by Ortmann Quadrula undata trigona, *

Fusconaia cor analoga Ortmann, 1918
= FUSCONAIA EDGARIANA (Lea)

1920 Fusconaia edgariana analoga, Ortmann, Proc. Am. Phil. Soc., 59: 286.

Type loc.: Speers Ferry on the Clinch River, Scott Co., Virginia (six miles north of the Tennessee line).

Type lot: Eight syntypes, 4 males and 4 females, CM 61.6526, collected Ortmann, June 8, 1913.

Compared with the description and type (in MCZ) of Unio cor Conrad, the form ana-

loga is not related whatsoever with that species. Other lots of analoga collected and labelled by Ortmann, from Alabama, Tennessee, and southwest Virginia, do not offer substantial differences with edgariana; some specimens are flatter as indicated in the description, but accounts for populations which are very variable according to locations up or down streams. All the northern tributaries of the Tennessee River around the Tennessee-Virginia line (Powell, Clinch, Holston) are only ten to fifteen miles apart. Ortmann estimated that *specimens with diameter less than 50% of the length fall under this var. but then, in populations of any species variable as this, individuals above or below that 50% are to be expected.

A species closely related to edgariana is cuneolus. Lea, offering the same stational differences (c. cuneolus and c. appressa): Before describing analoga Ortmann wrote on the label *F. edgariana appressa, * and the distribution of both is about the same; I think we are dealing here with a superspecies complex which deserves revision.

Fusconaia subrotunda leucogona Ortmann, 1913

FUSCONAIA SUBROTUNDA (Lea)
Nautilus 27: 89 (not figured).

Type loc.: Elk River, Gassaway, Braxton Co., West Virginia.

Type lot: Twelve syntypes (one sent to Ohio State Museum) 7 males of all sizes, and 4 females, CM 61.5239 (not 5399 as indicated in description) collected by Ortmann, July 8, 1911.

The author stated that leucogona does not differ substantially from typical subrotunda, and the Elk River shells are but a local race. Some of the syntypes approach subrotunda kirtlandiana to a degree (Ortmann), but in general are subrotunda which has the tendency in headwaters of the Ohio to develop a flat form (kirtlandiana) but without distinct allopatry. The young of leucogona and subrotunda are identical in all stations, and adult females are equal to the females of kirtlandiana as figured in Ortmann's 1919 (pl. 1, f. 3) monograph. The individuals become more angulated posteriorly when

they grow older. The series subrotundakirtlandiana-leucogona are just clinal phases of one and the same species.

Quadrula lananensis Frierson 1901 : FUSCONAIA LANANENSIS (Fr.)

Nautilus 15: 75, pl. 4.

Fusconaia escambia Clench and Turner? 1956: 152, pl. 7, figs. 3, 4.

 Type loc. Lanana Creek near Nacogdoches, Nacogdoches Co., Texas.

Type lot: 2 paratypes from type locality, CM 61.5006, coll. by H. G. Askew, Strode, and Frierson, July 1901; from Frierson coll.

Although the original lots from Lanana and Bonita Spring contained, according to Frierson, about 200 specimens, the species seems to be uncommon because it has been seldom mentioned. Fusconaia escambia Clench, and Turner is very similar in shape and colors; probably it is the same thing as lananensis or no more than an eastern subspecies or race, relatively smaller, a little more rounded and with scarcely higher umbos. Between these two forms, Unio askewi Marshall from Texas seems to be intermediary.

Unio wardii Lea 1863 = QUADRULA METANEVRA (Raf.)

Observations 1863, 9t 87.

Quadrula metanevra wardii, Ortmann 1920;

Type loc.: Walhonding River (a tributary of the Tuscarawas in the Upper Ohio Valley). Ohio

Type lot: 3 specimens, CM 61.1063, from Coal River, Logan Co., Virginia, from ex-Hartmann coll. These specimens were seen and compared by Lea and are considered paratypes. Johnson (Bull. MCZ 115 (4): 142) indicates one paratype in MCZ from Hartmann-Anthony coll, with acclaration on the label by Lea who recognized it as one of the specimens he gave to Anthony from Coal River (not Tennessee as marked on the label).

Unio wardii was based on some variation in the development of the tuberculae, a character which is not constant in all individuals; it could not even be considered as a race, since all degrees of such

development are present in different populations from Pennsylvania; the trinomial is superfluous.

Quadrula quadrula contraryensis Utterback 1916

= QUADRULA QUADRULA Raf.

Amer. Midl. Nat. 4 (Reprint) (56, pl. 18, f. 47 (no type selected).

Type loc.: Lake Contrary at St. Joseph, Buchanan Co., Missouri

Type lot: 3 syntypes, Utterback coll., CM 61.6892. None of the specimens can be identified with the one figured, but the larger one has the same dimensions as the second male mentioned by the author.

This form is the same thing as Unio fragasus Conrad (Monogr. 1836t 12, pl. 6, f. 2) from SciotoRiver, Ohio. Before or while - describing contraryensis, Utterback sent the referred syntypes to Ortmann who labelled them, first as lachrymosa (=fragosus), and afterwards he added Utterback's denomination but remarking (1919: 42) that it is only a *local phase* of Q. quadrula (sensu lato) which is widely distributed in the Mississippi and Lower Ohio drainages, with ample variability among individuals of the same populations, and clinal at different locations. It is very difficult, almost impossible, to separate the forms contraryensis-fragosa, lachrymosa from quadrula quadrula.

Elliptio waltoni Wright 1888

= ELLIPTIO PRODUCTUS (Conrad)

Proc. A.N.S.P. 1888; 114, pl. 2, f. 3. Simpson, Proc. U.S.N.M., 15; 431, pl. 73, f. 7.

Elliptio cupreus Raf., Ortmann 1919: 110, pl. 8, f. 6.

Elliptio productus (Conrad), Ortmann, 1922, Occ. Papers MZUM 112; 30.

Type loc.: Lake Woodruff, Volusia Co., central E. Florida.

Type lot; One syntype (or paratype?) CM 61.11835 (from L. E. Daniels ex-Wright coll.) The type in A.N.S.P. The specimen at hand is identical in all its features with E. productus identified by Ortmann from Alexandria, Virginia; in the Carnegie Museum collection, numerous lots from Pennsylvania, Virginia, and Georgia show

a progressive clinal variation toward more lanceolate forms in southern localities. E. productus is becoming rather scarce in the south, and recent reports from extensive collecting in Georgia and Florida (Clench and Turner 1956) do not mention it. The type is at MCZ. It is the same with "oscari."

Unio oscari Wright 1892

z ELLIPTIO PRODUCTUS (Conrad)

Nautilus 5t 124; 1895, 19; 122, pl. 2, f. 3.

Type loc.: Lake Osceola, Winter Park, Orange Co., Florida.

Type lots One paratype CM 61.11808, from Bryant Walker ex-Daniels Coll.) Type in

ANSP, other paratypes in MCZ.

This form which Ortmann included within the aheneus-hazelhurstianus group is, like waltoni, merely a little more obese E. productus. The figure in Nautilus shows a specimen abnormally inflated and thickened by gerontism.

Elliptio dilatatus sterkii Grier 1918 = ELLIPTIO DILATATUS DILATATUS (Raf.) Nautilus 32; 9. Ortmann 1919; 101, pl. 8. f. 3.

Type loc.: Big Bend (W. of waterworks), Presque Isle, Erie Co., Pennsylvania.

Type lot: Lectotype, here selected, a male, figured and marked *4 by Ortmann in 1919. Ten paratypes, 5 males and 5 females, CM 61.4648, coll. Ortmann, July 8, 1910.

Ortmann indicated this form as exclusive from Lake Erie, but other records in collection are from Detroit River and Lake Huron. It is only an ecological form of dilatatus dilatatus. Before sending the material for description to Grier, Ortmann labelled the specimens as **Unio gibbosus Barnes.**

The lectotype is a medium size specimen, 7 years old; the larger paratypes are 13 to 15 years old, and darker.

QUINCUNCINA BURKEI Ortmann & Walker 1922
Nautilus 361 3, pl. 1, figs. 1-4.
Type log t Sikes's Creek (tributery o

Type loc.: Sikes's Creek (tributary of Choctawhatchee River), Barbour Co., Alahama. Type lot: Two paratypes from Pea River (5 miles W. of Elamville) Barbour Co., Alabama. CM 61.8623, coll. J A. Burke, April 1915. Another paratype from Choctawhatchee River at Blue Springs, collected by H.H. Smith, May 12, 1915. These three specimens are smaller than the type (in MCZ). The genus Quincuncina was based mainly on the anatomy of our specimen from Blue Springs, although the shell type selected by Ortmann and Walker was from Syke's. The species is restricted to the Choctawhatchee drainage and recently Clench and Turner (1956) reported several localities from Alabama and Florida.

ANODONTINAE

-ARKANSIA WHEELERI Ortmann & Walker 1912 (= ARCIDENS (ARKANSIA) ?)

Nautilus 25 (9) \$ 98, pl. 8.

Type loc. * OldRiver* branch of Ouachita River, Arkadelphia, Clark Co., Arkan-

Type lot: 3 paratypes, 2 collected by Wheeler, June 17, 1911; CM 61.5388, male and female; another, coll. June 26, CM 61.5257. There is also a topotype collected by Wheeler, after description, on Feb. 20, 1913, CM 51.6162.

The first three specimens, all about 5 years old, are very much like and approximately the same size as the type figured. The last one is older, about 7 to 8 years old, and 25% longer, with stronger sculpture and lateral teeth very rugose, recalling an Arcidens but with the umbonal features as in the other three. In all of them, the folds of the posterior slope are more marked than those in the figure of the type. It is not very unlikely that Arkansia might prove to be a subgenus of Arcidens. Other paratypes are in MCZ.

Anodonta subinflata Anthony, 1865 = ANODONTA GRANDIS Say

Amer. Jour Conchol. 1: 160, pl. 15, f. 1 [sub-inflata].

Type lot: 2 paratypes from type loc. (from MCZ) CM 61.16013.

Van der Schalie, Clarke and other authors have remarked on the many ecological

phases of the protean Anodonta grandis, of which subinflata represents those of smaller size. Ortmann did not recognize subspecies in grandis, since all the variations are recurrent in distant areas of similar conditions; no defined geographical areas can be drawn for any of the forms.

Anodonta showalteri Lea, 1860

- STROPHITUS SHOWALTERI (Lea)
Proc. A.N.S.P. 1860: 307.

Type loc.: Big Prairie Creek. Hale Co.,

Type lot: One paratype collected by E. R. Showalter (from Alabama Geol. Survey Museum) CM 61.8377. Other 2 paratypes from J. Lewis (ex-Hartmann's coll.) 1898, pertaining to the original lot, received in 1902, CM 61.805. In two early labels Ortmann indicated: 'Anodontites ferussacianus' and 'Strophitus edentulus;' Walker who examined these specimens decided that showalteri is a distinct species. From the generic point of view, however, the conspicuous and well developed pseudocardinal places it, with all probability, within Strophitus.

ANODONTA BROOKSIANA van der Schalie 1938 Ann. Carnegie Museum 27 (12): 167. pl. 16, f. 1-3.

Type loc.: Spout Pond Arm, Ferryland District, Southern Shore, Newfoundland.

Type lot: Holotype and 160 paratypes from type locality, collected by S. T. Brooks, 1937 (originally they were of two lots collected on Sept. 17 and 21, but afterwards mixed). Other 74 paratypes from Long Run Pond. Many paratypes were distributed to different museums.

This is a species (or subspecies?) which has been found only in Newfoundland. By its acute angle of the posterior margin it stands between marginata and grandis; its thinness and fragility also recall imbecillis, but differing by its umbo which is not so flat and makes the dorsal line appear less straight; from cataracta it differs in the lack of rays and beak aculpture. According to the value of characters given to other species of Anodonta, it can be considered a species

of the same degree, but it also might represent anortheastern subspecies of grandis.

Lasmigona costata ereganensis Grier, 1918 = LASMIGONA COSTATA (Raf.)

Nautilus 32:(1): 10. Ortmann 1919: 131. pl. 9 f. 6 (emend. criganensis)

Type loc.: Big Bend (W. of waterworks)
Presque Isle Bay, Lake Erie, Erie Co.,
Pennsylvania.

Type lot: Lectotype, here selected, corresponding to the first specimen measured by Grier (90 X &6 X 31 mm.), and four paratypes, CM 61.4720, collected by Ortmann July 8, 1910. Other 3 paratypes, same locality, collected May 22, 1909, CM 61.4223.

The form eriganensis, as well as the species costata (sensu lato), is not a common shell in Lake Erie. Apart from those mentioned above, there are only five other lots from Michigan, and in 1962 Stansbery reported that L. costata was only known to him from Lake Erie by three specimens. The paucity of the materials makes the differences indicated by Grier more outstanding but, like many others which show different ecological phases between large rivers and headwaters, and between them and lake forms, it is unlikely that eriganensis should be considered an allopatric form.

ALASMIDONTINAE

Alasmidonta marginata susquehanna Ortmann, 1919

= ALASMIDONTA MARGINATA (Say)
Monograph; 187, pl. 12, f. 4.

Type loc.: Susquehanna River at Selingrove, Snyder Co., Pennsylvania.

Type lot. One lectotype, male, here selected corresponding to the figured specimen, and 7 paratypes, collected Ortmann. Aug. 14, 1910; two males and 5 females.

Comparing numerous populations of L. marginata from eastern and western Pennsylvania, each showing many individual variations, it is not possible to draw a definite line between marginata s. s. and

susquehanna. Clarke and berg (1959: 27) also found that the ratios distance of beak to anterior end - length of shell easily overlap when large populations are considered.

LAMPSILINAE

Nephronaias flucki Bartsch 1906

= ACTINONAIAS FLUCKI (Bartsch)
Proc. U.S.N.M. 30% 393, pl. 17, f. 2,
pl. 13, f 2% pl. 19, figs. 1, 2.
Type loc.: Wounta River, NW of Kukalla-

ya, Nicaragua.

Type lot: Two paratypes collected and received from W.H. Fluck, smaller than the four specimens mentioned in original description (holotype, U.S.N.M. 64 X 27.7 X 17.6 mm.),

The explanation of the figures in the plates is not given in Bartsch's paper; N. flucki corresponds to fig. 2 in all plates; pl. 17, fig. 2, may be confused with fig. 1 which is Diplodon huapensis Bartsch (D. chilensis patagonicus d'Orb.), but on pl. 18 the differences of their hinges are shown. Figure 3, in all the plates appear to me as entirely different either from flucki or from huapensis, and it is a shell of the Actinonaias calamitarum group, closer to yzabalensis F. & C. from Guatemala. Young specimens of calamitarum which are always more elongated than the adults, look sometimes like flucki.

For comments on the status of Nephronaias see next species.

Unio (Nephronaias) ortmanni Frierson, 1913 = ACTINONAIAS CALAMITARUM ORTMANNI (Frierson)

Nautilus 27: 14; 28, pl. II. Elliptio ortmanni (Frierson), Ortmann Nautilus 35: 24. Type loc.: Estancia Maya, on the Conchins River, Quirigua (Atlantic drainage), Guatemala,

Type lots 24 syntypes collected by A. A. Hinkley on Feb. 4 and 6, 1913. CM 61. 6196 and 61.6197. The first lot is composed of 16. mostly adult shells, but smaller than the one figured; the others are 8, even smaller specimens, five females and 3 males; the smallest female (only 24 mm.) was gravid, and being only 29% of the adult size, indicates that the species began sexual activity very early in life.

The anatomy of ortmanni is like that of Elliptio (those of other species placed in Nephronaias are unknown). But, defining Nephronaias, Ortmann (1921) said that the anatomy in this group and Obovaria are undistinguishable and all the differences are in the shells, and temporarily placed it within Lampsiliss later he said that Nephronaia's becomes either a synonym of Elliptio or a subgenus of it.

The generic type of Nephronaias is Unio plicatulus Charpentier, but when the
genus was created Fischer and Crosse (1900)
declared that they had not seen that species, the reference taken from Küster's
Conchylien Cabinet. Finally, Ortmann
(1922, 147) decided that Nephronaias is a
synonym of Actinonaias while Thiele places
Nephronaias and Simonaias as sections of
Elliptio.

Frierson's ortmanni agrees in all respects with Unio calamitarum (Morelet), although it appears more oval and less posteriorly quadrates but calamitarum includes many variations, and Martens (Biol. Centr. Amer., 1901; 505) placed it under cuprinus Lea (=metallicus Say) which is unlikely. The type of calamitarum is from Palenque, Chiapas, Mexico, but the group of its related species ranges from Mexico to Nicaragua. I think that Elliptia sayanus and E. haricoti Frierson are forms of calamitarum.

Considered at most as a southern subspecies, the variations in the type lot of ortmanni include: forms from perfectly oval to reniform; posterior margin subtruncated rounded or pointed; with or without green rays on the posterior slope; interior pearly white, sometimes salmon; exterior olive-green in young specimens, dark brown in gerontic ones. Constant characters: umbos somewhat flattened; deep concentric sulcation very regular in the young, reaching the tip of the umbo, rather rugose in adults; secondary pallial line very conspicuous, especially on the anterior side; pseudocardinals very strong; shell solid.

Unio borealis A. F. Gray, 1882 = LAMPSILIS RAPIATA (Gm.)

Trans. Ottawa Field-Nat. Club, 1982: 53.
Type loc.: Duck Island, Ottawa River,
Carleton Co., Ontario, Canada.

Type lot: one paratype from type loc. CM 61.9926, from Frierson (ex-Latchford coll.). Lectotype in MCZ. Another specimen, topotype, from A. La Rocque, 1937.

Lampsilis ventricosa cohongoronta Ortmann, 1912

= LAMPSILIS OVATA (Say)

Type loc.: Potomac River, Hancock. Wash-ington Co., Maryland.

Type lot: one lectotype, here selected, male, CM 61.3999; 15 paratypes, all males; Ortmann separated the females in another lot CM 61.400, of which one is the allotype; all these were collected by Ortmann on Sept. 4, 1909, combining a single lot of 22 specimens. The form is also known from the Shenandoah River at its confluence with the Potomac (about 30 m. S. of Hancock).

Lampsilis ventricosa cohongoronta was neither fully described nor figured; its author never mentioned the form again in subsequent publications, but included ventricosa as a form - not subspecies - of ovata. The only author who apparently recognized the form in various places of the Potomac was Marshall (Nautilus 31, 32, 34). Ortmann had said that this form of ventricosa was 'out of place in the Potomac and

it was an artificial introduction, the glochidia being carried by fishes like the 'black bass' which in 1889 was introduced in the Shenandoah, and other fishes in the Potomac and the Chesapeake-Ohio canal in 1894. The reactions during adaptation may have caused the differences found with ovata ventricosa; since it could not have been evolved into a subspectes in such a short period. These mussels live long and Ortmann might have found specimens of the first introduced generation. Ortmann dropped the name, evidently for that reason In 1919, when Ortmann recorded the localities in which he found ovata ventricosa none of the Potomac or Shenandoah localities were listed. All this gives us occasion to ponder how many times such accidental introductions might have occurred with other forms, which systematists are sometimes so quickly moved to name as ta-

Lampsilis fimbriata Frierson, 1907.

Nautilus 21 (8): 86, pl. 12 (two upper figures and lower left). (The first inner pages of vol. 21, numbers 8 and 8, said by mistake 'vol. 22.')

Type loc.: Valles River, San Luis de Potosi, Mexico.

Type lot: one paratype. male, CM 61. 4496, collected by A.A. Hinkley (received from Frierson). Type in A.N S.P.; 1 paratype in MCZ.

When Ortmann received the specimens he labelled them 'Lampsilis (Proptera)', and later added another label under Leptodea. This species belongs to the L. fragilis group, which is very variable in thickness but is extremely thin. Leptodea Raf. 1820 (type by subsequent designation of Hermannssen 1847, Unio leptodon Raf.) has priority over Paraptera Ortmann 1911; Lasmonos Raf. is a synonym. The species described by Simpson in Dall 1908 as Lampsilis (Proptera) salinasensis is a synonym of fimbriata.

Lampsilis iridella Pilsbry & Frierson, 1908

> = VILLOSA (FRIERSONIA) IRIDELLA P. & F:

Nautilus 22 (8); 81 (figures in 21, pl. 12, 1907).

Type loc.: The same as Leptodea fimbriata.

Type lot: three paratypes, collected by A.A. Hinkley (1906?). One male is 65 mm. long: the smaller 37 mm.) Type in ANSP.

Friersonia was founded on the anatomy, especially the pointed posterior of the marsupium and the recurved ovisacs. Regarding the inner laminae of the inner gills connected with the abdominal sac, the feature is the same as in Villosa. The shell, Ortmann observed, shows nothing very characteristic. In the paratypes at hand I found remarkable similarities in shape, internal and external color, rays, lines of growth seen on the inside, with Villosa iris. Beak sculpture is the same in Friersonia and Villosa, although somewhat accentuated in the first.

Micromya Agassiz 1852 was preoccupied for Insects, and replaced by Villosa (Frierson) by Clench and Turner 1956, with Unio villosa Wright as type. Taking into consideration the light anatomical differences, Friersonia should be ranked as a subgenus rather than a genus, with southernmost distribution.

Micromya ortmanni Walker, 1925 = VILLOSA ORTMANNI (Walker) Occ. Papers MZUM 163: 1, pl. 1, figs. 1-6.

Type loc.; Green River, Mammoth Cave, Kentucky.

Type lot; one paratype, female, from type loc. CM 61.11246, collected Ortmann 1921. Other two lots, 2 females and 2 males from Great Onyx Cave, Edmondson Co.

also collected by Ortmann in 1922; and 3, 1 male and 2 females from Barren River, Bowling Green, Warren Co., Ky. collected in 1924. All these materials were mentioned by Walker in the description and considered paratypes.

Truncilla walkeri Wilson & Clark 1914 5 DYSNOMIA WALKERI (W. & C.)

Bureau of Fish, Docum. 781: 46.

Type lot; four paratypes (2 males and 2 females) from Bryant Walker Coll., CM 61. 6769. This lot was labelled by Ortmann Dysnomia florentina walkeri.

The species florentina differs considerably in its smaller size, short, very solid, stout and very inflated shape, with larger umbonal area. D. walkeri, instead, is transitional between D. rangiana and D. capsaeformis, closer to the first by its colors, internal and external, and to second approaches by its shape, especially when large specimens are compared; it is, nevertheless, a form distinct from these two, and the valuable characteristics are of the same degree as those used to distinguish among other species of Dysnomia.

It would require a discussion beyond the scope of this paper to decide upon the subgeneric position of this Dysnomia, a matter on which a great deal of confusion prevails. The differences among the seven or more subgenera which have been named, by Rafinesque, Simpson, Ortmann, and Frierson, all appear to me not much above their specific values. There is also the question of priority between Dysnomia Agassiz 1859 and Epioblasma Rafinesque 1831# the revival of Epioblasma by Frierson in 1914 was accepted by Thiele 1935; Ortmann has said (1922: 71) that E. biloba Raf., as type of Epioblasma, was unrecognizable, but afterwards he and other authors placed biloba as a synonym of foliata-flexuosa (type of Dysnomia); it is abvious that any species name must be recognized before it is placed into synonymy since nomina nuds do not preoccupy or synonymize. However, the name Episblessa had not been used for 83 years before Frierson tried to revalidate it, while the better known Dysnomis was recognized by Simpson 1900, Walker 1918. Ortmann 1922 and Modell 1964. We have here, an identical case as that of Crenodents versus Amblema (of which, fortunately, the I.C.Z.N. decided, 1965, that Amblema should be the valid name). It is desirable that Epioblassa be declared a nomen oblitum.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED OCTOBER 4, 1967 ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION OCTOBER 11, 1967